Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1874 HB	Title: Blo	Title: Blood alcohol level/vehicles			Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts		
Part I: Estimates							
No Fiscal Impact							
Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
Account		FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23	
Counties Cities							
Cities	Total \$					_	
Estimated Expenditures from:							
F	Non-zero but in	determinate cost.	. Please see disc	cussion.		\neg	
The revenue and expenditure esti subject to the provisions of RCW		represent the most li	kely fiscal impact.	Responsibility for exp	enditures may be		
Check applicable boxes and fo							
If fiscal impact is greater to	han \$50,000 per fi	iscal year in the cu	rrent biennium o	r in subsequent bienr	nia, complete enti	re fiscal note	
form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than	\$50,000 per fisca	al waar in the curre	nt hiennium or ir	n cubcequent biennia	complete this pa	ge only (Part I)	
		ii year iii tiic eurre	iit oiciiiiuiii oi ii	i subsequent bienna,	complete tins pa	ge omy (1 art 1).	
Capital budget impact, co	implete Part IV.						
Legislative Contact Omeara	Harrington		P	Phone: 360-786-7136	Date: 02	/01/2017	
Agency Preparation: Renee L	ewis		P	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 02/	07/2017	
Agency Approval: Ramsey	Radwan		P	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/	07/2017	
OFM Review:			P	Phone:	Date:		

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

RCW 46.61.502, RCW 38.38.760, RCW 46.20.308, RCW 46.20.3101, RCW 46.25.090, RCW 46.61.504, RCW 46.61.5055, RCW 46.61.506 and RCW 79A.60.040 would be amended to lower the blood alcohol legal limit from 0.08 to 0.05.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

For informational purposes, a single DUI case can have multiple hearings. Because court impact is based on the number of hearings, the judicial impact will be based on the potential number of additional hearings.

In 2015 and 2016, an average of 8,040 DUI related hearings occurred in Superior Court, 283,667 in District Court and 71,469 in Municipal Court. Based on input from judges and clerks, they assume that many cases that were either just under 0.08 or at 0.08 may have been pled down to Negligent Driving. If the legal limit is lowered, the assumption is that many of those would remain charged as DUI and would need additional hearings. For purposes of the potential judicial impact, an assumption is made that there could be 2% - 5% more hearings. The potential impact to the courts could be:

Superior Court - the potential for an additional 161 - 402 hearings.

Potential cost to the county: \$84,000 - \$210,000 Potential cost to the state: \$20,000 - \$50,000

Additional Judicial FTE: 0.16 - 0.40 Additional Superior FTE: 0.39 - 0.99 Additional Clerk FTE: 0.52 - 1.30

District Court - the potential for an additional 5,673 - 14,183 hearings.

Potential cost to the county: \$1,765,000 - \$4,413,000

Additional Judicial FTE: 2.44 - 6.10

Additional District Court FTE: 20.18 - 50.44

Municipal Court - the potential for an additional 1,429 - 3,573 hearings.

Potential cost to the county: \$194,765 - \$487,000

Additional Judicial FTE: 0.21 - 0.54 Additional District Court FTE: 2.08 - 5.20

The judicial impact is statewide, however, there would be major impact to local courts.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact